Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/758,182	YAMADA ET AL.
	., A	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.		(3)	
(2) <u>Ronald P. Kananen</u> .	·	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		t's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 59, 60, 63 Prior art documents discussed: Stewart patent number 4,304,461			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/A	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

ì.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claim 59, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to amend claim 59 as the claim was understood by the examiner in the examiner's reasons for allowance of the prior office action and to therefore overcome the Stewart reference and place the application in condition for allowance. The change to claims 60 and 63 were discussed and agreed upon to provide additional clarity and overcome potential 112 rejections and/or claim objections.